1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney	
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7	OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104) Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7241 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 owen.martikan@usdoj.gov	
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR 08-0911 MHP
14)	
15	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
16	v.)	
17	DEON DOGAN,)	
18	Defendant.	
19)	
20		
21	On June 8, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for identification of	
22	counsel and a detention hearing. The parties stipulated and the Court agreed that time should be	
23	excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 8, 2009, through June 29, 2009, for	
24	effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance	
25	would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking	
26	into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also	
27	agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best	
28	//	

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 08-0911 MHP

interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 1 2 SO STIPULATED: 3 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney 4 /s/DATED: June 10, 2009 5 OWEN P. MARTIKAN **Assistant United States Attorney** 6 7 /s/DATED: June 10. 2009 8 RONALD C. TYLER 9 Attorney for Deon Dogan 10 **[PROPOSED]** ORDER 11 As the Court found on June 8, 2009, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of 12 time from June 8, 2009, through June 29, 2009, is warranted because the ends of justice served 13 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 14 See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny 15 defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the 16 17 exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 18 19 SO ORDERED. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED 22 DATED: June 12, 2009 23 Judge Marilyn H. Patel 24 25 26 27 28

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 08-0911 MHP